Recovery of amount due from STC

374. CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK:
SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU:
SHRIMATI SATYA BAHIN:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1163 given in Rajya Sabha on 5th March 1992 and state:

(a) Since when the amounts due from STC are lying unrecovered;

(b) whether interest would also be charged on these amounts;

(c) what are the reasons for which over payments were made to HAL and IDPL and the amounts recoverable from them were left un-covered; and

(d) by when the liabilities of HAL and IDPL would be finalised?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (d) The details are being collected and will be laid on the table of the House, as soon as possible.

Details of interim stay granted to M/s. Careys by Calcutta High Court

375. CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK:
SHRIMATI SATYA BAHIN:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1178 given in Rajya Sabha on the 5th March, 1992 and state:

(a) what are the details of the interim stay granted by the Calcutta High Court to M/s Carews in the case of Combiflam and when was such a stay granted;

(b) whether M/s. Carews have furnished complete details to Government and so, upto what period and if not upto what period the details are yet to be submitted;

(c) whether Government have identified the real company which floated this tax company in violation of all laws of the land to siphon off the funds;

(d) whether in additin to overcharging the matters would also be investigated under FERA and other laws; and

(e) if so, what steps have been taken in that direction?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) In December, 1991, M/s. Carews Pharmaceuticals obtained an interim stay from the Calcutta High Court against recovery of over-charged amount on Combiflam tablets.

(b) The company is yet to furnish the details for the period from 1-1-1989 till the date of implementation of the Government price by them.

(c) to (e) The Department of Company Affairs, to whom the matter was referred to for investigation, is yet to take a final view in the matter. Further course of action will depend on the report of the Depatment of Company Affairs.

Omissions and Commissions detected in the findings of the Special Team

376. CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK:
SHRI H. HANUMANTHAPPA:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1166 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 5th March, 1992 and state:

(a) on what ground a member of the Special Team was repatriated to his Department and who were the other members of the Special team;

(b) what are the omissions and commissions detected in the findings of the Special Team over the last two years after review;

(c) whether these omissions and commissions are not enough to fix the responsibility of the members of the Special Team;

(d) whether it is a fact that the issue is being side tracked as the concerned bureaucrats are themselves involved in the embezzlements; and